



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SW

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,380	06/24/2003	Prasad Shripad Kadle	DP-308450	1688

7590 12/17/2004

George B. Leavell, Esq.
Martine & Penilla, LLP
710 Lakeway Drive, #200
Sunnyvale, CA 94085

EXAMINER

ALI, MOHAMMAD M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3744

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

10/602,380

Applicant(s)

KADLE ET AL.

Examiner

Mohammad Ali

Art Unit

3744

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 26 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) ☐ The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
- (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
 - (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____

3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____

Claim(s) objected to: _____

Claim(s) rejected: 1-10

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

8. ☒ The drawing correction filed on 26 November 2004 is a) ☒ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____
10. ☐ Other: _____

Ma
12-09-04

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The combination of US Patent 5,722,146 to Seigle et al., with US Patent 6,389,842 to Telesz et al., is proper. Siegle et al., disclose an accumulator with an heat exchange chamber /outer chamber 14 containing heat exchanging fluid other than refrigerant fluid which is contained in inner chamber 12 and thus the accumulator of Seigle et al. is able to exchange heat independent of refrigerant fluid similar to the invention. Telesz et al., teach to combine dessicant 58 with their accumulator to make it an accumulator drier combination. This teaching of Telesz et al., for combining a dessicant with their accumulator to make it a drier also, an ordinary individual skilled in the art can make the accumulator of Seigle et al., a drier also by simply adding a dessicant bag. Bergman et al. teach the use of a thermoelectric device 38 on the outer surface of a receiver 37 similar to the thermoelectric device 41 of the invention on the outer surface of a accumulator/receiver for the same purpose. Therefore, the combinations of Telesz et al. and Bergman et al., with Seigle et al., are proper and they are supplying requisite factual basis without any doubt and they are not unfounded assumptions or hindsight reconstruction to supply deficiencies in the factual basis. Therefore, the rejections are proper.


WILLIAM DOERRLER
PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 3400